REFRAMING URBAN RESILIENCE AND SUSTAINABILITY POLICIES THROUGH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

DOI: 10.26341/issn.2241-4010-2026-10a-5

Papadaki Kalliopi
PhD Candidate, Urban Design, School of Rural, Surveying and Geoinformatics Engineering, National Technical University of Athens, Greece - Head of Department of Redevelopment and Restoration Studies of Technical Projects & Buildings, Hellenic Ministry of Environment & Energy
arc.kalliopi@gmail.com

Griva Maria
PhD Candidate, School of Architecture, National Technical University of Athens, Greece - Head of Department of Public Open Spaces Reservation and Building Surface Transfer, Hellenic Ministry of Environment & Energy
marilygriva@gmail.com

Poli Maria
Assistant Professor, Department of Interior Architecture, University of West Attica, Greece
mpoli@uniwa.gr

Abstract
Urban resilience and sustainability policies are increasingly reshaped through the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into urban governance, planning, and decision-making processes. In the context of intensifying climate change impacts, socio-spatial inequalities, demographic pressures, and infrastructural vulnerability, cities face complex and uncertain conditions that challenge conventional policy approaches. AI-driven systems enable a shift toward anticipatory, adaptive, and data-intensive forms of urban governance. Drawing on academic literature, policy frameworks, and emblematic smart city initiatives, this study examines how AI applications such as predictive analytics, machine learning, digital twins, and real-time urban monitoring transform the operational logics of urban sustainability and urban resilience. These technologies support proactive risk assessment, optimize resource allocation, enhance climate adaptation strategies, and facilitate coordinated responses across interconnected urban systems, including mobility, energy, and water management. The policy framework of the city of Athens, developed within the “100 Resilient Cities” program, is examined as a case study to illustrate the potential of AI as an urban policy-making tool for city-scale data analysis, risk prediction, and the design of integrated urban interventions. The analysis also highlights socio-political and ethical challenges, including algorithmic transparency, data governance, and participatory decision-making, emphasizing the need for robust regulatory frameworks and inclusive approaches to ensure that AI contributes to sustainable urban development.

Keywords: urban sustainability; urban resilience;artificial intelligence; urban policy-making tool

1. INTRODUCTION

Contemporary urban environments are increasingly characterized by complexity, uncertainty, and rapid transformation. Contemporary cities are increasingly conceptualized as complex adaptive systems, characterized by non-linearity, interdependence, and constant transformation across social, ecological, and technological domains [5, 20, 45]. In this context, cities today operate as dynamic socio-technical systems shaped by the interaction of environmental pressures, economic restructuring, technological innovation, and shifting demographic patterns. Among the most pressing challenges are climate change impacts, including rising temperatures, extreme weather events, and sea-level rise, alongside persistent socio-spatial inequalities, and aging infrastructures. These conditions expose structural vulnerabilities in urban systems and challenge the effectiveness of conventional planning and policy approaches, which have traditionally relied on static models, linear projections, and fragmented governance structures [42], [62].

Increasingly, scholars argue that resilience and sustainability should not be treated as distinct or even complementary objectives, but as deeply intertwined processes that require systemic and relational thinking[42, 2]. However, operationalizing this integrated perspective presents significant methodological and institutional challenges. Conventional governance models -often characterized by fragmentation, bureaucratic inertia, and limited data integration -struggle to cope with the scale and complexity of contemporary urban issues [33], [62]. As a result, there is a growing recognition that new epistemological and technological tools are necessary to support more adaptive and evidence-based policymaking [35], [67].

Within this evolving landscape, artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a key driver of transformation in urban governance. AI encompasses a diverse set of computational techniques - including machine learning, neural networks, and predictive analytics - that enable the extraction of insights from large and heterogeneous datasets [5]. These capabilities are particularly relevant in urban contexts, where the proliferation of digital infrastructures and Internet of Things (IoT) devices generates vast amounts of real-time data. Through the integration of these data streams, AI facilitates the transition toward data-driven and algorithmically mediated forms of governance, often associated with the broader paradigm of “smart cities” [62],[33]. Indeed, the rise of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies holds great promise for reshaping urban planning and decision-making processes [50].

Against this backdrop, cities such as Athens provide valuable empirical contexts for examining the opportunities and limitations of AI-driven urban policy. As part of the Rockefeller Foundation’s “100 Resilient Cities” initiative, Athens has developed strategies that integrate digital tools and data platforms into resilience planning, addressing challenges ranging from climate adaptation to social vulnerability [51].

This paper builds on the above developments to examine how AI is reshaping urban resilience and sustainability policies. It explores the ways in which AI technologies transform the operational logics of urban governance, enabling more adaptive, anticipatory, and integrated approaches. By situating AI within the broader discourse on urban resilience and sustainability, the paper aims to contribute to an emerging field of research at the intersection of urban studies, digital technology, and public policy. Ultimately, it argues that while AI offers significant opportunities for enhancing urban resilience, its effectiveness depends on the extent to which it is aligned with principles of social equity, democratic participation, and environmental sustainability.

2. URBAN RESILIENCE AND SUSTAINABILITY: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

As the impacts of climate change intensify [49] and over 70% of the global population is expected to live in urban areas by 2050, cities have become both highly vulnerable and critical arenas for transformative action [37]. Urban areas face multiple interconnected challenges, including rising temperatures, sea-level rise, changing precipitation patterns, and socio-economic instability. The frequency and severity of environmental stressors are being amplified by climate change, requiring approaches that move beyond assumptions of stability and predictability [29, 19].

Recent literature increasingly conceptualizes cities as complex adaptive systems in which environmental, social, infrastructural, and institutional components interact under conditions of uncertainty and rapid change. Within this context, urban resilience and sustainability have emerged as central frameworks in urban studies and policy. Although originating from different intellectual traditions, the two concepts are increasingly viewed as complementary responses to the complexity and interdependence of contemporary urban [41].

Urban sustainability refers to the capacity of cities to maintain ecological balance, social equity, and economic viability over the long term. Contemporary perspectives frame sustainability as a dynamic process that requires continuous adaptation, effective governance, resource efficiency, and intergenerational justice [57]. Urban resilience, in contrast, focuses on the ability of urban systems to absorb, adapt to, and transform in response to shocks and chronic stresses. Resilience extends beyond recovery, emphasizing the capacity of cities to evolve into more sustainable and equitable configurations after disruptions [72, 17]. Consequently, resilience and sustainability are increasingly understood as mutually reinforcing. Sustainability provides long-term normative goals, while resilience offers mechanisms for managing uncertainty and enabling transformation. Systems thinking and complexity theory have further strengthened this integration by framing cities as interconnected socio-ecological and infrastructural networks rather than linear systems [11, 72, 57]. Integrated frameworks therefore assess adaptive, absorptive, and transformative capacities as key dimensions of urban performance.

These challenges are addressed through spatial planning, regional and urban planning, and urban governance, all of which significantly influence both resilience and sustainability outcomes [53, 11]. Research also highlights the importance of multi-scalar approaches, ranging from neighbourhood-level interventions to global climate governance, in addressing cross-scale interactions and cascading risks [11].

At the same time, technological innovation is increasingly incorporated into resilience and sustainability strategies. Digital technologies, such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and network-based modelling, support real-time monitoring, predictive analysis, and more efficient resource allocation [13, 57]. However, scholars stress that technological solutions must be embedded within inclusive governance structures to avoid reinforcing social inequalities [58]. Emerging concepts such as urban antifragility further extend resilience thinking by proposing that cities can improve and transform through disruptions rather than merely withstand them [63].

Overall, urban resilience and sustainability are converging toward an integrated and dynamic paradigm that recognizes cities as adaptive systems. This perspective provides a foundation for rethinking urban planning, governance, and policymaking in an era of environmental uncertainty and rapid transformation.

3. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN URBAN GOVERNANCE

3.1 General view

Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly recognized as a key component of contemporary urban governance, transforming both the technological infrastructures and institutional processes of cities. Rather than serving solely as a tool for optimization, AI functions as a socio-technical infrastructure that integrates diverse data sources, computational models, and decision-making mechanisms across urban sectors, enabling more adaptive and data-driven forms of governance [31]. As a technology capable of simulating aspects of human learning, reasoning, and problem-solving, AI supports new approaches to managing urban complexity [53].

The rise of AI is closely associated with broader trends of platform urbanism and algorithmic governance, where urban decision-making increasingly relies on computational logic and automated systems [39, 12]. This transformation affects how urban knowledge is produced, how policies are designed, and how authority is exercised, introducing new forms of decision support based on data analytics and probabilistic modelling rather than solely on human expertise [5].

A major contribution of AI lies in its ability to shift urban governance from reactive to anticipatory approaches. Traditional planning often depends on historical data and static forecasts, whereas AI enables real-time monitoring, predictive modelling, and scenario simulation [33]. Machine learning algorithms can forecast climate-related risks, while digital twins can assess the potential impacts of policy interventions across interconnected urban systems.

Despite these advantages, the integration of AI raises significant ethical and political challenges. Issues related to data governance, including privacy, ownership, and security, have become increasingly important [33]. Moreover, algorithmic systems may reproduce existing social inequalities and biases, generate discriminatory outcomes if not properly design and regulated [47]. The opacity of many AI models also complicates transparency and accountability, limiting democratic oversight.

These concerns have led scholars to question the risk of technocratic governance, in which decision-making becomes concentrated within expert and technological systems at the expense of citizen participation and local knowledge [39]. Consequently, recent literature advocates for more inclusive and participatory approaches to smart city development that align technological innovation with social values, democratic principles, and the public interest.

3.2 Key AI Technologies&Applications in Urban Systems

AI combines a series of nested or derivative concepts that have evolved over more than 70 years, including machine learning (ML) encompassing techniques that enable computers to learn from and make inferences based on historical data [38] and deep learning that use multi-layered neural networks closely simulating the complex decision-making power of the human brain [9].Recent advances in artificial intelligence have enabled an expanding range of applications in urban resilience planning and management across multiple spatial scales, from global to local contexts. AI-driven technologies—including sensor networks and surveillance infrastructures, autonomous robotic systems, automated diagnostic tools for urban utilities, and satellite-based image analytics for damage detection and resource allocation—are fundamentally transforming how cities anticipate, monitor, and respond to disasters and climate-related risks [46]. These developments are closely linked to the broader transition toward data-intensive and algorithmically mediated forms of urban governance, where the convergence of AI systems within integrated digital platforms enhances institutional capacity, supports real-time decision-making, and strengthens coordinated emergency response mechanisms [16, 10].

Consequently, at the core of AI-based urban governance are machine learning (ML) systems, predictive analytics, deep learning architectures, and real-time data processing platforms. These technologies allow cities to process vast and constantly growing data sets from Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, mobile devices, satellite imagery, and administrative databases. Through pattern recognition and probabilistic modeling, machine learning algorithms can identify latent structures in urban data, allowing policymakers to predict system behaviors rather than simply react to them [1]. Based on the above, applications of artificial intelligence technologies find application in many areas of city operation.

One of the most significant applications of AI in urban governance is in urban mobility systems [10]. AI-powered traffic management platforms use real-time sensor data and historical mobility patterns to optimize traffic flows, reduce congestion, and improve public transport efficiency. The integration of artificial intelligence, advanced predictive analytics, and machine learning techniques is fundamentally transforming urban traffic management systems, enabling cities to enhance road safety, optimize traffic flows, and improve the efficiency of emergency response mechanisms. By harnessing these technological advancements, transportation infrastructures are progressively evolving into more efficient, adaptive, and reliable systems, capable of addressing the increasing pressures associated with urbanization and mobility demand. This transformation is further supported by the incorporation of emerging technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), LiDAR, and AI-driven analytical frameworks, which facilitate the development of intelligent and sustainable transportation networks. As a result, urban mobility is becoming increasingly seamless, data-driven, and anticipatory in nature [27, 40].For instance, cities such as Singapore and Barcelona employ AI-based adaptive traffic signal systems that dynamically adjust signal timing based on congestion levels, significantly reducing travel time variability and emissions [27, 54].

In the energy sector, AI plays a crucial role in optimizing smart grids and enhancing energy efficiency. The future of AI in energy is centred on building a more resilient, efficient, and decentralized system. Projects like those mentioned in this, data.europa.eu post are testing AI to accelerate these solutions. The synergy between AI and the energy transition is essential, though it requires robust policy frameworks to manage security and infrastructure demands [28].Machine learning models are used to forecast electricity demand, integrate renewable energy sources, and balance supply fluctuations in real time. AI is transforming the energy sector by optimizing renewable generation, enhancing grid stability, and enabling demand-side management, potentially reducing global emissions by 5-10% and adding $1.3 trillion in economic value by 2030. Key applications include predictive maintenance for infrastructure, AI-driven trading, and smarter energy management[61]. For example, AI-enabled energy management systems in European smart cities analyze consumption patterns at the building and district levels, enabling dynamic load balancing and reducing peak energy demand [24].These systems contribute not only to operational efficiency but also to decarbonization strategies aligned with urban sustainability goals.

Climate change intensifies global water insecurity through escalating hydrological extremes, deteriorating water quality, and aging infrastructure, necessitating transformative solutions [55]. AI applications in water management and climate resilience are also increasingly prominent. Predictive analytics models are used to forecast flood risks by integrating meteorological data, hydrological models, and land-use patterns [56]. Cities such as Rotterdam and Copenhagen employ AI-based flood prediction systems to simulate extreme weather scenarios and support adaptive infrastructure planning [66, 65]. Another important issue is related to water scarcity, one of the most pressing challenges of the 21st century. Inappropriate management of water resources, sanitation systems, and catchment methods poses a significant threat to public health.AI has emerged as a strategic tool for tackling a wide range of challenges in the water sector, including demand forecasting, leak detection, catchment infrastructure optimization, water resilience modelling, and adaptive urban planning. Nevertheless, despite the rapid expansion of research in this area, there is still limited clarity about the true extent of these applications, the difficulties involved in implementing them, and the untapped opportunities that remain[8].

A city or an urban area includes or is affected by a wide, varied array of systems such as road infrastructure, water supply, electricity supply or public transport, among others.A particularly transformative application of AI is the development of urban digital twins, which represent virtual replicas of physical urban environments. Digital twins integrate real-time data streams with simulation models to enable scenario testing and policy experimentation. Mikell and Mikell (2018) define digital twins as “the virtual representation of a physical object or system throughout its life cycle.” A SCDT combines information and communications technology (ICT) to improve its viability, functionality, and sustainability.For example, the city of Helsinki has developed a city-scale digital twin platform that allows planners to simulate urban growth, energy consumption, and climate impacts under different policy scenarios [6, 7]. The urban management sector is just starting to implement digital twins, as less than 20 % of the studied articles focused on projects that were already in operation and all references except one were published in the last 5 years. Europe is emerging as the main centre of development of urban digital twins, with over 60 % of the existing initiatives[25].

Changes in urban planning and policy formulation are driven by big data, which is considered as the recording of socio-behavioural, urban, and physical observations.Beyond sector-specific applications, AI is increasingly embedded within integrated urban governance platforms that connect mobility, energy, environment, and infrastructure systems. This convergence enables cross-sectoral optimization and enhances the coordination of urban services. For instance, integrated urban dashboards combine real-time environmental monitoring, traffic data, and energy consumption metrics to support holistic decision-making processes at the municipal level [34, 60]. Such systems represent a shift toward what has been described as algorithmic urban governance, where decision-making is increasingly mediated through computational infrastructures.

Building on the expanding role of artificial intelligence in urban governance and infrastructure systems, it becomes evident that the operationalization of these technologies is increasingly shaped by supranational policy frameworks, particularly within the European context. The European Union has positioned AI as a strategic enabler of the green and digital transitions, embedding its use within broader urban agendas that prioritize sustainability, resilience, and citizen-centric governance. Policy initiatives such as the European Green Deal, the Digital Strategy, and the regulatory framework introduced through the AI Act establish guidelines for the ethical, transparent, and accountable deployment of AI systems in urban environments [21, 22]. These frameworks emphasize not only technological innovation but also risk-based regulation, data governance, and public trust, thereby shaping how cities integrate AI into planning, service delivery, and decision-making processes. Consequently, the European policy landscape plays a critical role in mediating the transition toward data-driven urban systems, ensuring that the adoption of AI aligns with broader objectives of sustainability, inclusivity, and democratic accountability.

A leading initiative in this context is the “Development of the EU Local Digital Twins Toolkit” a collection of advanced reusable tools, reference architectures, open standards and technical specifications designed to help local communities and cities create local AI-powered digital twins that assess different scenarios and cases. These digital twins use Artificial Intelligence to predict how changes in a city might affect things like traffic, pollution or public health. Cities can use these simulations to make better decisions in real time, such as managing traffic flow or responding to emergencies. City experts can also use these local digital twins for planning purposes, such as developing new transport systems or tackling climate change. Any European city, regardless of size, can benefit from using the toolkit. The tools are designed to adapt to different needs and levels of technical expertise [22, 23,24].

4. CASE STUDY: ATHENS AND THE “100 RESILIENT CITIES” PROGRAM

Athens provides a particularly relevant case study for examining the integration of artificial intelligence within urban resilience and sustainability strategies, as it represents a metropolitan context shaped by multiple, overlapping stressors. Over the past decade, the city has experienced the combined effects of economic crisis, climate change, demographic shifts, and infrastructural pressures, which have exposed systemic vulnerabilities across social, environmental, and institutional domains. These conditions have positioned Athens as a critical testing ground for innovative governance approaches aimed at enhancing urban resilience through both policy reform and technological integration [15, 51]. The participation of Athens in the “100 Resilient Cities” (100RC) initiative, pioneered by the Rockefeller Foundation, marked a significant turning point in the city’s strategic planning trajectory. The program aimed to support cities worldwide in developing holistic resilience strategies by addressing not only acute shocks—such as natural disasters—but also chronic stresses, including unemployment, social inequality, and climate vulnerability. Also, the program provides member cities with critical resources including financial grants, support from “platform partners”, and facilitates knowledge and practice exchange to foster information sharing and resilience enhancement within the networkWithin this framework, Athens developed a comprehensive resilience strategy that emphasized cross-sectoral coordination, data-driven decision-making, and inclusive governance processes [15].

A key dimension of the Athens resilience strategy is its focus on integrated urban governance, where multiple policy domains—such as climate adaptation, social cohesion, infrastructure management, and economic development—are addressed through coordinated interventions. This approach reflects a shift from fragmented planning models toward systemic governance structures capable of managing interdependencies across urban systems. In this context, digital technologies and data platforms play an increasingly important role in enabling coordination, enhancing transparency, and supporting evidence-based policymaking [3, 70].

The incorporation of artificial intelligence into Athens’ urban policy framework is closely linked to the broader development of smart city initiatives and digital infrastructures. Although the deployment of AI in Athens is still evolving, several initiatives illustrate its growing role in urban management. For example, the municipality has invested in digital platforms for real-time urban monitoring, integrating data related to mobility, environmental conditions, and public services. These platforms enable more efficient service delivery and provide the analytical foundation for predictive and adaptive governance mechanisms [23].

In the domain of climate resilience, Athens faces significant challenges related to rising temperatures and the intensification of urban heat island effects. AI-driven tools have the potential to support the identification of high-risk areas by analyzing spatial data, land-use patterns, and climatic variables. Such applications can inform targeted interventions, including the expansion of green infrastructure, the redesign of public spaces, and the implementation of cooling strategies. Recent pilot projects in Athens have explored the use of geospatial analytics and sensor-based monitoring to assess microclimatic conditions and support climate adaptation planning [14]. Similarly, in the field of urban mobility, Athens has begun to integrate data-driven approaches to address congestion and improve transportation efficiency. The use of intelligent transport systems (ITS), combined with real-time traffic data, allows for more dynamic traffic management and improved coordination of public transport services. While full-scale AI deployment remains limited, the gradual integration of predictive analytics and data platforms signals a transition toward more adaptive and responsive mobility systems [15, 36].

Another important area of application concerns risk management and emergency response. Athens is exposed to multiple hazards, including heatwaves, earthquakes, and flooding events. AI-based systems can enhance risk assessment by integrating diverse datasets—such as meteorological information, infrastructure conditions, and population distribution—to generate predictive models of vulnerability and exposure. These capabilities support more proactive emergency planning and enable faster, more coordinated responses during crisis events [15, 64]. Despite these advancements, the implementation of AI within the Athens context is accompanied by significant challenges. One of the primary issues concerns data governance and institutional capacity. The effective use of AI requires access to high-quality, interoperable datasets, as well as the technical expertise necessary to develop and manage complex analytical systems. In many cases, data fragmentation across municipal departments and limited technical resources hinders the full realization of AI-driven governanceraises [15, 23]. Furthermore, the adoption of AI important ethical and socio-political considerations, particularly in relation to transparency, accountability, and citizen participation. Ensuring that AI systems are used in a manner that is fair, inclusive, and aligned with public interests is a critical challenge for urban policymakers. In Athens, efforts to promote participatory governance—such as stakeholder engagement processes and community-based initiatives—must be integrated with digital innovation strategies to avoid the risk of technocratic decision-making [3].

The case of Athens ultimately demonstrates that the integration of artificial intelligence within resilience strategies can act as a catalyst for more adaptive, data-driven, and inclusive urban governance, provided that technological innovation is effectively aligned with institutional capacity, policy coherence, and societal needs.

5. DISCUSSION: CRITICAL VIEW ON THE BENEFITS OF AI IN URBAN POLICY MAKING

A community can be understood as a complex system composed of interdependent subsystems that collectively support human well-being. These include both physical infrastructure (such as transportation, energy, and water systems) and social infrastructure, including governance, healthcare, education, and economic activities. Their interaction generates feedback mechanisms and nonlinear dynamics that shape urban performance and collective behaviour over time [26].

Within this context, artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative tool for urban policymaking, offering new capacities to manage uncertainty, improve system performance, and support adaptive governance. By processing large volumes of heterogeneous data, AI enables policymakers to move from reactive approaches toward anticipatory and evidence-based decision-making. Through predictive analytics and machine learning, urban authorities can identify emerging risks, simulate future scenarios, and design interventions that address both short-term challenges and long-term sustainability objectives [71]. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of AI depends heavily on data quality, model design, and underlying assumptions. Biased or incomplete datasets may reproduce existing inequalities, while the opacity of many AI models raises concerns regarding transparency, accountability, and explainability [47, 43, 12, 48].

One of the most significant contributions of AI is its support for proactive and preventive governance. Unlike traditional planning approaches that rely on historical data and static models, AI enables real-time monitoring and predictive modelling, allowing authorities to anticipate disruptions such as traffic congestion, infrastructure failures, and climate-related hazards before they escalate [56]. However, predictive systems may create an illusion of certainty and encourage excessive reliance on algorithmic outputs. Given the complexity of urban systems, AI-based governance may prioritize measurable phenomena while overlooking qualitative and context-specific dimensions of urban life [32, 33].

AI also contributes to the optimization of resource allocation and operational efficiency. Data-driven insights can improve energy management, transportation systems, and public service delivery, supporting sustainability objectives by reducing resource waste and environmental impacts [69]. Yet efficiency-oriented approaches may neglect broader societal values such as equity, inclusiveness, and accessibility. Optimization processes often reflect predefined objectives that do not fully capture social priorities, potentially reinforcing spatial and digital inequalities [68].

Another important advantage of AI lies in its capacity to integrate and coordinate interconnected urban systems. By aggregating and analysing data across sectors such as mobility, energy, water, and public services, AI supports more holistic policy responses and facilitates the management of complex challenges such as climate change [30]. However, institutional fragmentation, data silos, and limited interoperability often constrain the effectiveness of such integration, demonstrating that technological innovation must be accompanied by institutional transformation [6].

Furthermore, AI strengthens scenario analysis and policy experimentation through tools such as digital twins and simulation models. These technologies allow policymakers to evaluate alternative strategies under different conditions before implementation, reducing uncertainty and improving decision quality [53, 18]. At the same time, simulations are based on assumptions and simplifications that cannot fully capture social, behavioural, and political dynamics, creating the risk that conditional scenarios may be interpreted as objective predictions [4].

AI also has the potential to improve public service delivery and citizen engagement. Through the analysis of user-generated data and digital interactions, AI can help tailor services to diverse population needs and support more responsive governance [44, 1330]. However, these opportunities are accompanied by concerns regarding digital inclusion, privacy, surveillance, and democratic accountability. Unequal access to digital technologies may exclude vulnerable groups from participation, while extensive data collection raises important ethical and data protection challenges [68, 73].

Ultimately, the effectiveness of AI in urban policymaking depends on institutional capacity and governance frameworks. Successful implementation requires not only technological infrastructure but also robust regulatory mechanisms, data governance practices, organizational capabilities, and ethical guidelines that ensure transparency, accountability, and responsible use [71]. Although AI can support adaptive and resilient urban systems through continuous learning and feedback loops, it cannot replace political judgment, ethical deliberation, or public debate. Urban policymaking remains fundamentally normative, involving value-based choices that cannot be fully delegated to algorithmic systems [59].

6. CONCLUSION

This paper examined the evolving relationship between artificial intelligence (AI), urban resilience, and sustainability, highlighting the transformative role of AI in reshaping contemporary urban governance. By conceptualizing cities as complex adaptive systems characterized by interdependencies between physical and social infrastructures, the analysis has demonstrated how AI-driven approaches can enhance the capacity of urban systems to anticipate, respond to, and adapt to a wide range of environmental, social, and economic challenges.

The integration of AI technologies into urban policy-making processes introduces a fundamental shift from reactive and fragmented governance models toward more proactive, data-driven, and system-oriented approaches. Through predictive analytics, real-time monitoring, and cross-sectoral data integration, AI enables more informed decision-making, improves operational efficiency, and strengthens the coordination of interconnected urban systems. These capabilities are particularly critical in the context of increasing uncertainty associated with climate change, rapid urbanization, and socio-economic instability.At the conceptual level, the paper has emphasized the convergence between urban resilience and sustainability as mutually reinforcing frameworks. While sustainability provides the normative foundation for long-term urban development, resilience offers the operational mechanisms necessary to navigate disruption and uncertainty. AI acts as a key enabler in bridging these two paradigms, facilitating adaptive governance and supporting the transition toward more flexible and responsive urban systems.

The case study of Athens has illustrated both the opportunities and the limitations associated with the implementation of AI in real-world urban contexts. As a city facing multiple and overlapping stressors, Athens demonstrates how resilience strategies, supported by digital technologies, can contribute to more integrated and forward-looking policy frameworks. At the same time, the analysis has highlighted critical challenges related to data governance, institutional capacity, and ethical considerations, underscoring that technological innovation alone is insufficient without appropriate governance structures and inclusive policy design.

Furthermore, the European policy context plays a crucial role in shaping the deployment of AI in cities, providing regulatory frameworks and strategic directions that aim to ensure transparency, accountability, and alignment with sustainability objectives. The interaction between local implementation and supranational governance frameworks is therefore central to understanding the broader transformation of urban systems in the digital age.

Despite the significant benefits associated with AI, the paper has also emphasized the need for a critical and balanced approach to its adoption. Issues such as algorithmic bias, data inequality, and the potential for technocratic governance highlight the importance of embedding AI within democratic, participatory, and ethically grounded frameworks. Future urban policy must therefore focus not only on technological advancement but also on ensuring that AI contributes to social equity, inclusivity, and public trust.Looking ahead, further research is needed to explore the long-term implications of AI-driven urban governance, particularly in relation to institutional transformation, citizen engagement, and the evolving nature of urban decision-making processes. Additionally, empirical studies examining the effectiveness of AI applications across different urban contexts would provide valuable insights into best practices and potential limitations.

In conclusion, artificial intelligence represents a powerful tool for enhancing urban resilience and sustainability, offering new possibilities for managing complexity and uncertainty in contemporary cities. However, its successful integration depends on the alignment of technological innovation with governance capacity, policy coherence, and societal values. As cities continue to navigate the challenges of the twenty-first century, the interplay between AI, resilience, and sustainability will remain a central dimension of urban transformation.

References

1. Abbass, M., Abbas, U., Jafri, R., Arif, S.M. (2024). AI and Machine Learning Applications in Sustainable Smart Cities. In S. H. Al-Humairi, *Sustainable Smart Cities and the Future of Urban Development* (pp. 1-32). Hershey, PA, USA: IGI Global. doi:10.4018/979-8-3693-6740-7.ch001

2. Ahern, J. (2011). From fail-safe to safe-to-fail: Sustainability and resilience in the new urban world. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 100(4)*, pp. 341-344. doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2011.02.021
3. Angelidou, M. (2017). Smart city planning and development shortcomings. *TeMA - Journal of Land Use, Mobility and Environment 10(1)*, pp. 77-94. doi:10.6092/1970-9870/4032
4. Batty, M. (2013). *The new science of cities.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
5. Batty, M. (2018). Artificial intelligence and smart cities. *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science, 45(1)*, pp. 3-6. doi:10.1177/2399808317751169
6. Batty, M. (2023). Digital twins, urban analytics and the future of cities. *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science, 50(2)*, pp. 345-352. doi:10.1038/s43588-024-00606-7
7. Batty, M., Hudson-Smith, A., Milton, R., & Crooks, A. (2024). Digital twins in urban systems: From models to real-time cities. *Cities, 150, 104912*.
8. Benitez, M., Matamoros, M.O., Escobar, J.M. (2025, June 30). Towards Resilient Cities: Systematic Review of the Literature on the Use of AI to Optimize Water Harvesting and Mitigate Scarcity. *Water 2025, 17(13), 1978*. doi:10.3390/w17131978
9. Bibri, S.E., Huang, J., Krogstie, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence of things for synergizing smarter eco-city brain, metabolism, and platform: Pioneering data-driven environmental governance. *Sustainable Cities and Society 108*. doi:10.1016/j.scs.2024.105516
10. Bibri, S.E., Krogstie, J. (2023, June). The emerging data–driven Smart City and its innovative applied solutions for sustainability: the cases of London and Barcelona. *Energy Informatics*. doi:10.1186/s42162-020-00108-6
11. Bleicher, J., & Kumar, P. (2025, June). A novel framework integrating resilient and sustainable urbanism for designing climate-resilient sustainable urban neighbourhoods. *Sustainable Cities and Society, 130,106577*. doi:10.1016/j.scs.2025.106577
12. Burrell, J. (2016, January). How the machine 'thinks': Understanding opacity in machine learning algorithms. *Sage Jurnals 3(1)*. doi:10.1177/2053951715622512
13. CASA Framework Study. (2024, November). *Information Framework for Critical Decision-Making in Contexts of Environmental Pressure.* Retrieved from Complex Society Lab and Infinitum Humanitarian Systems: https://futurecomplexity.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/CASA_white-paper_November_2024.pdf
14. Christou, T., Goutte, S., Konstantakis, K., Michaelides, P. (2025, November). Cities, Climate & Growth: Evidence from Athens, Greece. *International Review of Economics & Finance 104:104740*. doi:10.1016/j.iref.2025.104740
15. City of Athens. (2017). *Athens Resilience Strategy for 2030.* Retrieved from Athens-Resilience-Strategy-English: https://resilientcitiesnetwork.org/downloadable_resources/Network/Athens-Resilience-Strategy-English.pdf
16. Cugurullo, F. (2020). Urban artificial intelligence: From automation to autonomy in the smart city. *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities, 2(38)*. doi:doi.org/10.3389/frsc.2020.00038
17. Deb, A., & Sultana, H. (2024). Urban resilience: Assessment of performance and science mapping from a climate change perspective. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*. doi:10.1080/27658511.2024.2388936
18. Deren, L., Wenbo, Y., & Zhenfeng, S. (2021). Smart city based on digital. *Computational Urban Science, 1(1)*, pp. 1-11. doi:10. 1007/ s43762- 021- 00005-y
19. EEA. (2020). *Urban adaptation in Europe: how cities and towns respond to climate change*. Retrieved from Climate Adaptd: https://climate-adapt.eea.europa.eu/en/metadata/publications/urban-adaptation-in-europe-how-cities-and-towns-respond-to-climate-change

20. Elmqvist, T., Andersson, E., Frantzeskaki, N., McPhearson, T., Olsson, P., Gaffney, O., Takeuchi, K., & Folke, C. (2019). Sustainability and resilience for transformation in the urban century. *Nature Sustainability, 2(4)*, pp. 267–273. doi:10.1038/s41893-019-0250-1
21. European Commission. (2020). *The European Green Deal*. Retrieved from EU Strategy & Policy: https://commission.europa.eu/strategy-and-policy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal_en
22. European Commission. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence Act: Proposal for a regulation laying down harmonised rules on artificial intelligence.* Retrieved from EUR-Lex: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex:52021PC0206
23. European Commission. (2022). *Digital transformation of cities and communities*. Retrieved from Digital Transformation: https://single-market-economy.ec.europa.eu/industry/strategy/digital-transformation_en
24. European Commission. (2024). *Smart cities and communities report: AI-driven urban transformation in Europe.* Brussels. Retrieved from https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/smart-cities-and-communities
25. Ferré-Bigorra, J., Casals, M., Gangolells, M. (2022, December). The adoption of urban digital twins. *Cities 131, 103905*. doi:10.1016/j.cities.2022.103905
26. Ford, D., Wolf, C. (2020, July). Smart Cities with Digital Twin Systems for Disaster Management. *Journal of Management in Engineering 36(4):04020027*. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000779
27. Gheorghe, G., Soica, A. (2025, February 12). Revolutionizing Urban Mobility: A Systematic Review of AI, IoT, and Predictive Analytics in Adaptive Traffic Control Systems for Road Networks. *Electronics 2025, 14(4), 719*, pp. 567–589. doi:10.3390/electronics14040719
28. International Energy Agency. (2025). *Energy and AI. Official 2025 energy-AI analysis from the IEA*. Retrieved from IEA: https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/de9dea13-b07d-42c5-a398-d1b3ae17d866/EnergyandAI.pdf
29. IPCC. (2023). *Climate Change 2023. Synthesis Report.* Geneva: IPCC.
30. Janssen, M., van der Voort, H., & Wahyudi, A. (2020). Factors influencing big data decision-making quality. *Journal of Business Research 70*. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.08.007
31. Jiang, R., Zhao, J., & Lu, Y. (2023). Artificial intelligence in urban governance: Opportunities and challenges. *ournal of Urban Technology, 30(4)*, pp. 1-22. doi:10.1186/s13677-023-00569-6
32. Kitchin, R. (2014). *The Data Revolution: Big Data, Open Data, Data Infrastructures & Their Consequences.* Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications Ltd. doi:10.4135/9781473909472
33. Kitchin, R. (2017). Thinking critically about and researching algorithms. *Information, Communication & Society, 20(1)*, pp. 14–29. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2016.1154087
34. Kitchin, R. (2023). Data-driven cities and algorithmic governance. *Big Data & Society, 10(1)*, pp. 1-15.
35. Kitchin, R., Lauriault, T.P., McArdle, G. (2015, February). Knowing and governing cities through urban indicators, city benchmarking and real-time dashboards. *Regional Studies, Regional Science 2(1)*, pp. 6-28. doi:10.1080/21681376.2014.983149
36. Koliou, P., Tzoutzoulis, D., Yannis, G. (2025). Advancing Sustainable Urban Mobility: A Model-Based Analysis of a Proposed Mobility Card System in Athens and User Acceptance. *ICTR 2025, 12th International Congress on Transportation Research.* Thessaloniki, Greece: ICTR.
37. Kumar, A., Diksha,T., Pandey, A.C., Khan, M.L. (2020). Urban Risk and Resilience to Climate Change and Natural Hazards. In P. S. Srivastava, *Techniques for Disaster Risk*

Management and Mitigation (pp. 33-46). Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley. doi:10.1002/9781119359203.ch3

38. Lartey, D., Law. K.M. (2025). Artificial intelligence adoption in urban planning governance: a systematic review of advancements in decision-making, and policy making. *Landscape Urban Plan, 258*. doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2025.105337
39. Leszczynski, A. (2020). Glitchy vignettes of platform urbanism. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space, 38(2)*, pp. 189–208. doi:10.1177/0263775819878721
40. Mamdoohi, S., Miller-Hooks, E. (2024, April). The role of information dissemination in sustaining a stable and Resilient Traffic Network under disruption. *Sustainable and Resilient Infrastructure 9(1453)*, pp. 1-17. doi:10.1080/23789689.2024.2340383
41. Mannucci, S. (2025). Beyond sustainability: Paradigms for complexity and resilience in the built environment. *Urban Science, 9(6)*. doi:10.3390/urbansci9060212
42. Meerow, S., Newell, J. P., & Stults, M. (2016). Defining urban resilience: A review. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 147*, pp. 38–49. doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.11.011
43. Mehrabi, N., Morstatter, F., Saxena, N., Lerman, K., & Galstyan, A. (2021). A Survey on Bias and Fairness in Machine Learning. *ACM Computing Surveys, 54(6)*. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1908.09635
44. Meijer, A., & Bolívar, M. P. R. (2016, April). Governing the smart city: a review of the literature on smart urban governance. *International Review of Administrative Sciences 82(2)*, pp. 392-408. doi:10.1177/0020852314564308
45. Munich Re. (2025, 09 01). *Climate Change Is Showing Its Claws: The World Is Getting Hotter, Resulting in Severe Hurricanes*. Retrieved from Munich Re: https://www.munichre.com/en/company/media-relations/media-information-and-corporate-news/media-information/2025/natural-disaster-figures-2024.html
46. Musa, M., Rahman, T., Rahman, P. (2025, July 02). Harnessing artificial intelligence for sustainable urban development: advancing the three Zeros method through innovation and infrastructure. *Scientific Reports volume 15: 23673*. doi:10.1038/s41598-025-07436-1
47. O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy.* New York: Crown Publishers.
48. Pasquale, F. (2015). *The black box society.* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
49. Pizzorni, M., Innoce., Tollin, N,. (2024). Droughts and floods in a changing climate and implications for multi-hazard urban planning: A review. *City Environ. Interact 24(11)*. doi:10.1016/j.cacint.2024.100169
50. Praharaj, S. (2026, April). Combining AI with urban resilience planning: A bibliometric analysis of literature. *City and Environment Interactions 30*. doi:10.1016/j.cacint.2026.100342
51. Rockefeller Foundation. (2019). *100 Resilient Cities: Global insights report*. Retrieved from 100 Resilient Cities: https://www.rockefellerfoundation.org/100-resilient-cities/
52. Rockefeller Foundation. (2019). *100 Resilient Cities: Lessons from the first decade*. Retrieved from Rockefeller Foundation: https://www.rockefellerfoundation.org/100-resilient-cities/
53. Sanchez, T.W., Shumway, H., Gordner, T., Lim, T. (2023). The prospects of artificial intelligence in urban planning. *International Journal of Urban Sciences 27(2)*, pp. 179-194. doi:10.1080/12265934.2022.2102538
54. Sánchez-Vaquerizo, J. (2024, November). Urban Digital Twins and metaverses towards city multiplicities: uniting or dividing urban experiences? *Ethics and Information Technology 27(1): 4*. doi:10.1007/s10676-024-09812-3
55. Singh, S.P., Kumar, S. Monica, K. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and the Greening of Cities: Towards Eco-Smart Urban Ecosystems. In S. K. Kumar, *Green Intelligence:*

Artificial Intelligence and Remote Sensing for Climate Change Mitigation and Ecosystem Conservation (pp. 71-89). USA | UK | India | Turkey: Deep Science Publishing.

56. Sousa, W., Bacao, F., & Painho, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence for urban resilience. *Sustainable Cities and Society, 95, 104594*.
57. Springer Nature. (2025). *Advances in urban sustainability assessment: A systematic literature review of methods, frameworks, and future directions*. doi:10.1007/s44243-025-00063-4
58. Storper, M., Scott, A. (2025, October 11). Current debates in urban theory: a critical assessment. *Sage Journals 53(6)*. doi:10.1177/0042098016634002
59. Susskind, J. (2018). *Future politics: Living together in a transformed world.* Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
60. Tenney, M., Sieber, R. (2016, July). Data-Driven Participation: Algorithms, Cities, Citizens, and Corporate Control. *Urban Planning 1(2)*, pp. 101-113. doi:10.17645/up.v1i2.645
61. The World Economy Forum. (2025, January 29). *Energy and AI: the power couple that could usher in a net-zero world*. Retrieved from Energy Transition: https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/01/energy-ai-net-zero/
62. Townsend, A. M. (2013). *Smart cities: Big data, civic hackers, and the quest for a new utopia.* London: W. W. Norton & Company.
63. Uguet, J., Tollin, N., Morato, J. (2026). *Transforming Crises into Opportunities: From Chaos to Urban Antifragility.* Ithaca, NY USA: Cornel University. Retrieved from https://arxiv.org/abs/2601.10658
64. UNDRR. (2022). *Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction (GAR)*. Retrieved from UN Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction (GAR): https://www.undrr.org/gar
65. UNESCO. (2025, April 23). *Applications of artificial intelligence for water management*. Retrieved from UNESCO: https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/applications-artificial-intelligence-water-management
66. UN-Habitat. (2023). *Artificial intelligence for climate-resilient cities*. Retrieved from United Nations Human Settlements Programme: https://unhabitat.org/sites/default/files/2025/04/k2504332e_-_hsp-ha-2-14_-_advance.pdf
67. United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. Retrieved from UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs: https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda
68. Van Dijk, J. (2020). *The digital divide.* Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
69. Vinuesa, R., Azizpour, H., Leite. I., Balaam, M. (2019). The role of artificial intelligence in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. *Nature Communications, 11, 233.* doi:10.48550/arXiv.1905.00501
70. Wang, D., Song, M., Chen, S., Du, H. (2025, December). The impact of the global 100 resilient cities strategy on urban resilience under climate change. *Cities 167: 106370*. doi:10.1016/j.cities.2025.106370
71. Wirtz, B. W., Weyerer, J. C., & Geyer, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence and the public sector. *International Journal of Public Administration, 45(7)*, pp. 596–615. doi:10.1080/01900692.2018.1498103
72. Zeng, X., Yu, Y., Yang, S., Lv, Y., & Sarker, M. N. I. (2022). Urban resilience for urban sustainability: Concepts, dimensions, and perspectives. *Sustainability, 14(5)*. doi:10.3390/su14052481
73. Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism.* New York: PublicAffairs.